COMMENTS OF QWEST CORPORATION ON THE EFFECT OF THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT'S OPINION

**Qwest Corporation** 1600 7<sup>th</sup> Ave., Suite 3206 Seattle, WA 98191 Telephone: (206) 398-2500 Facsimile: (206) 343-4040

15

17

18

20

19

21 22 available and the lowest cost network configuration, given the existing location of the incumbent LEC's wire centers. Instead, the court found that the clear intent of Congress was that costs used for pricing UNEs be reflective of the actual costs of the facilities and equipment used by the competitor.<sup>2</sup>

Qwest has previously addressed the Commission's question regarding this Court ruling, with respect to the cost studies filed in this docket, in the pre-filed Testimony of Teresa K. Million,<sup>3</sup> where she states, in part:

> It is clear that the Court believes an ILEC's rates should be based on the forward-looking cost of providing its existing facilities and equipment rather than an imaginary reconstructed local network. Thus, cost models that calculate unit costs using realistic, achievable and actual inputs to produce a realistic outcome would meet the requirements of the Telecom Act. The cost models presented by Owest use assumptions based on actual experience or company practice and, therefore, already reflect this interpretation by the Court for the most part. While the Court's action and forthcoming rules from the FCC may impact Qwest's approach to future cost studies, I do not believe that it requires changes to the cost studies presented in this proceeding.

It should be noted that the Court has not yet issued its mandate on this ruling and thus the terms of the Order are not yet effective. Furthermore, the FCC rules could remain in effect if the decision is stayed pending appeal. Nevertheless, Qwest agrees with Verizon's assessment of the ruling that, "[b]ecause of the original consolidation of appeals in the Eighth Circuit, the action of that court is binding for all purposes, and represents a material change in the controlling law that must be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> On Petitions for Review of an Order of the Federal Communications Commission, Iowa Utilities Board v. FCC, Case No. 96-3321 (and consolidated cases). at 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Million Direct, Docket UT-003013 *Part B*, at 5.

1	
<ul><li>2</li><li>3</li><li>4</li><li>5</li></ul>	addressed by the parties to this proceeding and applied by the Commission." Consequently, Qwest believes that the Court's ruling potentially impacts all of the cost determinations made by this Commission in all phases of the Generic Docket and must certainly guide the Commission as it
<ul><li>6</li><li>7</li><li>8</li><li>9</li></ul>	considers appropriate assumptions and inputs in Part B. However, Qwest believes that any further analysis or deliberations regarding the ruling should await the Eighth Circuit Court's release of its mandate, when the accompanying appeals and other court actions can be assessed.
10 11	QWEST CORPORATION
12 13 14 15	Lisa A. Anderl  1600 7 <sup>th</sup> Avenue Room 3206 Seattle, WA 98101 Tel: (206) 345-1574 Fax: (206) 343-4040  Dated: August 16, 2000
16 17 18	
20 21 22	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Verizon Northwest Inc.'s Comments on 8<sup>th</sup> Circuit Opinion, UT-003013, August 15, 2000, at 2.